Forbidden Configurations

Attila Sali

sali.attila@renyi.hu

April 21, 2021

We need some basic definitions. Define a matrix to be *simple* if it is a (0,1)-matrix with no repeated columns. Then an $m \times n$ simple matrix corresponds to a *simple* hypergraph or set system on m vertices with n edges as columns of the matrix are the characteristic vectors of sets in the set system. For a matrix A, let |A| denote the number of columns in A. For a (0,1)-matrix F, we define that a (0,1)-matrix A has F as a configuration if there is a submatrix of A which is a row and/or column permutation of F, in notation $F \prec A$. Let Avoid(m, F) denote the set of all m-rowed simple matrices with no configuration F. The fundamental extremal problem is to compute

$$forb(m, F) = \max_{A} \{ |A| \colon A \in Avoid(m, F) \}.$$
(1)

Let $Avoid(m, \mathcal{F})$ denote the set of all *m*-rowed simple matrices with no configuration $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Define

$$forb(m, \mathcal{F}) = \max_{A} \{ |A| : A \in Avoid(m, \mathcal{F}) \}.$$
 (2)

The following product is important. Let A and B be (0,1)-matrices. We define the product $A \times B$ by taking each column of A and putting it on top of every column of B. Hence if |A| = a and |B| = b then $|A \times B|$ is ab. For example, the vertex-edge incidence matrix of the complete bipartite graph $K_{m/2,m/2}$ is $I_{m/2} \times I_{m/2}$. Let I_m be the $m \times m$ identity matrix, I_m^c be the (0,1)-complement of I_m (all ones except for the diagonal) and let T_m be the triangular matrix, namely the (0,1)-matrix with a 1 in position i, j if and only if $i \leq j$. The following is the main motivating conjecture.

Conjecture 0.1 [2] Let F be a $k \times \ell$ matrix with $F \neq \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Let X(F) denote the largest p such that there are choices $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_p \in \{I_{m/p}, I_{m/p}^c, T_{m/p}\}$ so that $F \not\prec A_1 \times A_2 \times \cdots \times A_p$. Then $\operatorname{forb}(m, F) = \Theta(m^{X(F)})$.

Many special cases have been verified, for details one may consult [1]. In the current research proposal we aproach the problem from another direction. In extremal graph

theory it is a classical question [3] that if a graph has more edges than allowed by a forbidden subgraph, then how many forbidden subgraphs are there? We ask the analogous question here. If matrix A has forb(m, F) + k columns, then how many different configurations F are in A, as a function of k. The difference from classical extremal hypergraph heory is that configurations correspond to *induced* subhypergraphs. The question is mainly interesting for those configurations F for which forb(m, F) is known exactly. For a start we could investigate the cases $F = I_2$ or $F = K_2$. We know that $forb(m, I_2) = forb(m, K_2) = m + 1$ What is the difference between the number I_2 's and K_2 's if our matrix has m + 1 + k columns?

Another aspect is that how many columns do we need to have $k \times \ell$ configuration F on each k-tuples of rows?

Here are some **qualifying problems** to get into the mood:

- 1. Prove that $forb(m, F) = forb(m, F^c)$, where F^c is the 0 1-complement of F.
- 2. What is forb (m, I_2) ? What is forb $(m, \{I_2, T_2\})$?
- 3. Let F be a k-rowed matrix. Suppose we have $A \in \operatorname{Avoid}(m, F)$ such that $|A| = \operatorname{forb}(m, F)$. Consider deleting a row r. Let $C_r(A)$ be the matrix that consists of the repeated columns of the matrix that is obtained when deleting row r from A. If we permute the rows of A so that r becomes the first row, then after some column permutations, A looks like this:

$$A = {}^{r} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ B_{r}(A) & & C_{r}(A) & C_{r}(A) & & D_{r}(A) \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (3)

where $B_r(A)$ are the columns that appear with a 0 on row r, but don't appear with a 1, and $D_r(A)$ are the columns that appear with a 1 but not a 0. Prove that

$$forb(m, F) \le |C_r(A)| + forb(m-1, F).$$
(4)

- 4. Let K_k denote the $k \times 2^k$ simple 0 1-matrix (configuration). Use the decomposition (3) and the inequality (4) to prove that forb $(m, K_k) = O(m^{k-1})$.
- 5. Prove that

forb
$$(m, K_k) \ge \binom{m}{k-1} + \binom{m}{k-2} + \ldots + \binom{m}{0}.$$
 (5)

- 6. Do we have equality in (5)?
- 7. Prove that

$$I_p \times T_p \in \text{Avoid}(m, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 1 & 0\\ 0 & 1\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}).$$
 (6)

References

- [1] R.P. Anstee. A Survey of forbidden configurations results. *Elec. J. of Combinatorics* 20, DS20, (2013).
- [2] R.P. Anstee, A. Sali. Small Forbidden Configurations IV. Combinatorica 25:503-518, (2005).
- [3] Erdős, P., Simonovits, M. Supersaturated graphs and hypergraphs. Combinatorica 3, 181–192 (1983). https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02579292
- [4] Z. Füredi and A. Sali, Optimal multivalued shattering. SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics, 26(2) 737-744, 2012.