Forbidden Configurations – Stability Theorems

A. Sali

sali.attila@renyi.hu

June 2, 2023

We need some basic definitions. Define a matrix to be *simple* if it is a (0,1)-matrix with no repeated columns. Then an $m \times n$ simple matrix corresponds to a *simple* hypergraph or set system on m vertices with n edges. For a matrix A, let |A| denote the number of columns in A. For a (0,1)-matrix F, we define that a (0,1)-matrix Ahas no F as a configuration if there is no submatrix of A which is a row and column permutation of F. Let Avoid(m, F) denote the set of all m-rowed simple matrices with no configuration F. Our main extremal problem is to compute

$$forb(m, F) = \max_{A} \{ |A| : A \in Avoid(m, F) \}.$$
 (1)

Let $Avoid(m, \mathcal{F})$ denote the set of all *m*-rowed simple matrices with no configuration $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Define

$$forb(m, \mathcal{F}) = \max_{A} \{ |A| : A \in Avoid(m, \mathcal{F}) \}.$$
 (2)

The following product is important. Let A and B be (0,1)-matrices. We define the product $A \times B$ by taking each column of A and putting it on top of every column of B. Hence if |A| = a and |B| = b then $|A \times B|$ is ab. Let I_m be the $m \times m$ identity matrix, I_m^c be the (0,1)-complement of I_m (all ones except for the diagonal) and let T_m be the triangular matrix, namely the (0,1)-matrix with a 1 in position i, j if and only if $i \leq j$. The main conjecture states the following. Let X(F) be the smallest p so that F is a configuration in $A_1 \times A_2 \times \ldots \times A_p$ for every choice of A_i as either $I_{m/p}$, $I_{m/p}^c$ or $T_{m/p}$. Alternatively, assuming F is not a configuration in $A_1 \times A_2 \times \ldots \times A_p$ for some choice of A_i as either $I_{m/p}$, $I_{m/p}^c$ or $T_{m/p}$.

Conjecture 0.1

$$forb(m, F) = \Theta(m^{X(F)-1})$$
(3)

A possible way to attack cases of the conjecture is to establish *stability results*. That is, statements like "if $A \in Avoid(m, F)$ and $|A| > c_0 m^{X(F)-2}$, then A must contain a large

configuration of some of the products defining X(F)". One such example is a theorem of Anstee and Keevash from 2006

https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0195669806000771.

In our proposed research we would look for small forbidden configurations that have a single product defining X(F). One such example is

$$F_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(4)

We know that $\operatorname{forb}(m, F_1) = \lfloor \frac{m^2}{4} \rfloor + m + 1$, but we do not have yet a stability theorem for it. Stability theorems are interesting for their own sake, occur in several contexts in graph and hypergraph theory.

A good survey paper to get into the mood is Anstee's dynamic survey https://www.combinatorics.org/ojs/index.php/eljc/article/view/v8i1r4/pdf Introductory Problems:

- 1. Prove that $forb(m, F) = forb(m, F^c)$, where F^c is the 0 1-complement of F.
- 2. What is forb (m, I_2) ? What is forb $(m, \{I_2, T_2\})$?
- 3. Let F be a k-rowed matrix. Suppose we have $A \in \operatorname{Avoid}(m, F)$ such that $|A| = \operatorname{forb}(m, F)$. Consider deleting a row r. Let $C_r(A)$ be the matrix that consists of the repeated columns of the matrix that is obtained when deleting row r from A. If we permute the rows of A so that r becomes the first row, then after some column permutations, A looks like this:

$$A = {}^{r} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ B_{r}(A) & & C_{r}(A) & C_{r}(A) & & D_{r}(A) \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (5)

where $B_r(A)$ are the columns that appear with a 0 on row r, but don't appear with a 1, and $D_r(A)$ are the columns that appear with a 1 but not a 0. Prove that

$$\operatorname{forb}(m, F) \le |C_r(A)| + \operatorname{forb}(m-1, F).$$
(6)

4. Prove that

forb
$$(m, K_k) = \binom{m}{k-1} + \binom{m}{k-2} + \ldots + \binom{m}{0}.$$
 (7)

5. Prove that

$$I_p \times T_p \in \operatorname{Avoid}(m, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 1 & 0\\ 0 & 1\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}).$$
 (8)

6. Find the unique two-term product defining $X(F_1)$.